

REMARKS

I. General

The only issue outstanding in the instant application is that claims 7-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

II. Applicant's Record Under § 713.04 of Telephone Interview With Examiner

Applicant respectfully submits the following record of the telephone interview of April 26, 2005, under M.P.E.P. § 713.04.

The following persons participated in the interview: Examiner Curtis B. Odom and Applicant's attorney, Jerry L. Mahurin, Registration Number 34,661. Claim amendments, earlier proposed by the Examiner, namely, inclusion of the clarifying descriptive phrase "frequency synthesized" before the occurrence of the phrase "local oscillator signal" in each of the independent claims was discussed. Also, an amendment to FIGURES 1 and 5 was discussed which would indicate that the radio circuitry of these figures controlling the PLL illustrated in these figures.

III. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

A. Amendments to the claims

As noted above, Claims 7-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, in the present Office Action. In response to this rejection and in accordance with the above described telephone interview with the Examiner, Applicant has amended each occurrence of the phrase "local oscillator signal" to include the descriptive clarifying phrase "frequency synthesized." Additionally, various dependent claims have been amended to replace the phrase "local oscillator signal" with the phrase "frequency synthesized local oscillator signal" to conform with the amended independent claims.

B. Amendments to the Drawings

As discussed with the Examiner in the telephone interview of April 26, 2005, FIGURES 1 and 5 have been amended. FIGURE 1 has been amended to show control of PLL 414, at least in part, by radio circuitry 440. FIGURE 5 has been amended to show control of PLLs 464 and 364, at least in part, by respective radio circuitries 470 and 370.

In light of the above presented amendments, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph are now moot.

IV. Conclusion

Applicant believes no fee, beyond the extension fee dealt with in the accompanying transmittals and Petition for Extension of Time, is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge Deposit Account No. 06-2380, under Order No. 63692/P014US/10304972, from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner call the below listed attorney if the Examiner believes that he can be helpful in resolving any remaining problems.

Dated: June 27, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Jerry L. Mahurin

Registration No.: 34,661

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2800

Dallas, Texas 75201-2784

(214) 855-8000

(214) 855-8200 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant

Attachments